Wealth Shocks, Unemployment Shocks and Consumption in the Wake of the Great Recession

Dimitris Christelis

SHARE, CSEF and CFS

Dimitris Georgarakos

Goethe University Frankfurt and CFS

Tullio Jappelli

University of Naples Federico II, CSEF and CEPR

Bank of Greece, March 30, 2011

- Work in progress
- Comments are very welcome
- Working paper available at http://www.csef.it/WP/wp279.pdf

Main Idea

- In 2008 US household suffered capital losses of 13,6 trillion dollars, on a disposable income of 11 trillion dollars
- Unemployment in the US doubled between early 2008 and late 2009 (from 5% to 10%)
- How much was household spending during 2008-2009 affected by capital losses and unemployment?
- **Results.** Elasticity of consumption with respect to financial losses is about 0.09, with respect to housing losses about 0.056. Semi-elasticity with respect to unemployment is 10 percent

Theoretical Issues

- Are wealth shocks transitory or permanent? Anticipated or non-anticipated?
- Housing gains/losses should have different effects on homeowners compared to renters
- Capital gains could have effects by affecting the ability to borrow
- Differential reaction to negative and positive shocks
- Unemployment shock and unemployment insurance

Empirical Issues

- Data on capital losses needed at the household level. Should we worry about how well actual losses are measured? Maybe not, perceived losses are what matters.
- Data on losses should cover both housing and risky assets
- Data on expenditure needed at the household level
- It's better to disentangle losses from changes in values due to investments

 Housing: buying/selling, home improvements (lack thereof, depreciation)

Risky assets: buying/selling

Data

- Health and Retirement Study (HRS) Biennial household survey of the 50+ in the US
- Modules on demographics, employment, income, assets, expectations, physical and mental health, cognition, social activities, financial transfers etc.
- First wave in 1992. We use the 2008 wave, conducted between February 2008 and February 2009
- Sample size: 11,187 households, 16,477 individuals

- HRS Internet Survey of 2009 Random subsample of the 2008 HRS, plus some households interviewed in previous waves of the Internet Survey
- 3,438 households, 4,415 individuals
- Questions on spending:
 - Percentage change in spending in the last year
 - Spend less, about the same, more compared to previous year
 - Detailed questions on specific spending items (few households answer all questions, some major items like housing costs omitted).

- Question on percent losses (not gains) since September 2008 in the following financial assets:
 - Directly held stocks
 - Mutual funds
 - Employer-provided pension plans
 - Individual retirement accounts (IRAs)
 - o Trusts
 - $_{\odot}$ Stocks held in any other form
- Question on percent gain/loss in housing since summer of 2006
- Question on employment (retirement, unemployment)
- Self-reported health

- Expectation about prices of blue chip stocks in one year
- Various other questions on how households affected by the crisis (e.g. difficulties paying debts)
- Third source of information: Consumption and Activities Mail Survey (CAMS). Biennial, conducted one year after the main HRS survey. Has detailed questions on consumption.
- Relatively few households (about 300) with complete expenditure information are in both the Internet Survey and in CAMS

Changes in Consumption

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
Gains in	Perce	entage Change (Uncond	tion	Qualitative Change in Consumption					
A35613 -	25 th quantile	50 th quantile	75 th quantile	Mean	Lower	Same	Higher		
			Panel A.	Housing					
1 st quartile	-0.150	0.000	0.000	-0.044	0.292	0.479	0.229		
2 nd quartile	-0.125	0.000	0.000	-0.041	0.278	0.535	0.187		
3 ^d quartile	-0.100	0.000	0.000	-0.029	0.222	0.566	0.212		
4 th quartile	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.021	0.202	0.569	0.229		
		Pa	anel B. Total F	inancial Asse	ts				
1 st level	-0.150	0.000	0.000	-0.060	0.272	0.559	0.170		
2 nd level	-0.100	0.000	0.000	-0.042	0.245	0.549	0.206		
3 ^d level	-0.050	0.000	0.000	-0.025	0.193	0.561	0.246		
4 th level	-0.005	0.000	0.000	-0.024	0.214	0.533	0.253		

Capital Losses

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
		Prevalence of	Quantiles of Losses, Conditional on Having A					
Asset	Ownership Prevalence	Losses, Conditional on Ownership	25 th 50 th quantile quantile		75 th quantile	Mean		
	Panel A. I	Main Residence a	nd All Financ	ial Assets				
Main Residence	0.890	0.534	-0.260	-0.183	-0.105	-0.199		
Financial Assets	0.659	0.935	-0.354	-0.266	-0.162	-0.271		
	Р	anel B. Financial /	Assets in Deta	il				
Employer-Provided Pension Plans	0.348	0.865	-0.400	-0.300	-0.200	-0.304		
Individual Retirement Accounts	0.387	0.910	-0.400	-0.300	-0.200	-0.303		
Mutual Funds	0.422	0.915	-0.400	-0.300	-0.200	-0.294		
Directly Held Stocks	0.312	0.834	-0.400	-0.288	-0.193	-0.312		
Trusts	0.116	0.836	-0.350	-0.250	-0.150	-0.265		
Other Assets Invested in Stocks	0.238	0.743	-0.350	-0.225	-0.150	-0.258		

Other sample statistics

Variable	Statistic
Age	65.63
Household Size	2.14
Becomes Unemployed Between 2008 and 2009	0.04
Becomes Retired Between 2008 and 2009	0.13
Health Deterioration Between 2008 and 2009	0.08
Couple	0.75
High School Education	0.51
More than High School	0.47
Self-reported Health Fair or Bad	0.25
Numeracy Score (max. 5)	4.50
Working	0.50
Retired	0.45
White	0.87
Household net real assets (median)	195,378
Household net financial assets (median)	88,922
Household income (median)	62,394

Specification

• Start with a specification for consumption in logs

 $logC_{it} = \alpha logHW_{it} + \beta logFW_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$

• Take first differences

 $\Delta log C_{it} = \alpha \Delta log H W_{it} + \beta \Delta log F W_{it} + \gamma \Delta X_{it} + \Delta \varepsilon_{it}$

• $\Delta logC_{it}$, $\Delta logHW_{it}$, $\Delta logFW_{it}$ are percentage changes and α , β elasticities

• Squared terms in levels become linear terms in first differences

• We use four basic specifications, i.e. on top of capital gains we add as forcing variables:

 $_{\odot}\,\text{Age,}$ household size

- +transitions into unemployment, retirement, bad health
- \circ +income and net worth in 2008
- +more variables from 2008: being in a couple, education numeracy score, employment status (working, retired, unemployed)

Baseline Results

Variable	Мо	del 1	Мо	Model 2		del 3	Model 4	
variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error
		<u>Panel</u>	A. Regression	<u>n Estimates</u>				
Becomes Unemployed			-0.1014	0.0277 ***	-0.1018	0.0277 ***	-0.0990	0.0277 ***
Becomes Retired			-0.0267	0.0123 **	-0.0278	0.0124 **	-0.0241	0.0128 *
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	0.0578	0.0305 *	0.0537	0.0307 *	0.0572	0.0309 *	0.0541	0.0308 *
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.0887	0.0277 ***	0.0862	0.0279 ***	0.0997	0.0290 ***	0.0838	0.0294 ***
Number of Observations	1,915		1,883		1,883		1,	881
		Panel B. Mar	ginal Propens	sities to Consu	<u>me</u>			
Implied Marginal Propensity to Consume with Respect to the Value of the Main Residence	0.0094	0.0050 *	0.0090	0.0051 *	0.0094	0.0052 *	0.0091	0.0050 *
Consume with Respect to the Value of Financial Assets	0.0323	0.0102 ***	0.0319	0.0107 ***	0.0370	0.0110 ***	0.0321	0.0112 ***

Marg. propensity to consume = elasticity*(consumption/asset)

Aggregate implications

- Percent capital loss in housing for the US household sector between summer 2006 and 1st quarter 2009: about 29%. Implied reduction in spending: 1.6%
- Percent capital loss in financial assets for the US household sector between September 2008 and 1st quarter 2009: about 25%. Implied reduction in spending: 2.2%
- Caveat: our sample consists of older households, which should have a higher response of spending to wealth shocks due to their shorter life horizon

Disaggregated financial assets

Variabla	Model 1		Model 2		Мо	del 3	Model 4	
Variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error						
Becomes Unemployed			-0 1005	0 0263 ***	-0 1008	0 0263 ***	-0 0994	0 0263 ***
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	0.0704	0.0285 **	0.0665	0.0289 **	0.0692	0.0290 **	0.0668	0.0288 **
Percentage Change in Value of Employer-Provided Pension Plans	0.0107	0.0274	0.0126	0.0277	0.0171	0.0282	0.0119	0.0283
Percentage Change in Value of IRAs	0.0372	0.0277	0.0329	0.0275	0.0417	0.0276	0.0316	0.0274
Percentage Change in Value of Mutual Funds	0.0208	0.0288	0.0136	0.0286	0.0218	0.0288	0.0179	0.0289
Percentage Change in Value of Stocks Directly Held	0.0880	0.0252 ***	0.0776	0.0251 ***	0.0830	0.0252 ***	0.0785	0.0254 ***
Percentage Change in Value of Trusts	-0.0181	0.0403	-0.0029	0.0413	-0.0008	0.0414	-0.0014	0.0421
Percentage Change in Value of Other Assets Invested in Stocks	0.0052	0.0345	0.0100	0.0352	0.0103	0.0353	0.0044	0.0349
Number of Observations	2,	235	2,	193	2,	193	2,	191

Changes in Expectations

- It should make a difference whether capital losses are considered temporary or permanent. The response of consumption should be larger in the latter case
- We use the question on the probability that stock market prices will rise in a year's time
 - Change in reported probability between 2008 and 2009 (<=0 permanent, >0 transitory)
 - Expectation in 2008 (<=50% permanent, >50% transitory)

Voriable	Model 1		Model 2		Мо	del 3	Model 4			
variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error		
Panel A1. Negative or zero change in the reported probability of a rise in stock prices										
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.1192	0.0359 ***	0.1129	0.0357 ***	0.1291	0.0363 ***	0.1214	0.0367 ***		
Number of Observations	1,	,015	1,	001	1,	001	1,	000		
Pai	Panel A2. Positive change in the reported probability of a rise in stock prices									
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.0739	0.0537	0.0799	0.0546	0.0643	0.0576	0.0665	0.0555		
Number of Observations	Z	183	473		473		472			
Panel	B1. Reported	d probability in	1 2008 of a ri	se in stock pric	ces equal to .	<u>5 or lower</u>				
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.1390	0.0394 ***	0.1403	0.0394 ***	0.1369	0.0414 ***	0.1195	0.0414 ***		
Number of Observations	ç	916	9	004	9	04	ç	03		
Panel B2. Reported probability in 2008 of a rise in stock prices higher than .5										
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.0378	0.0398	0.0335	0.0399	0.0536	0.0406	0.0496	0.0414		
Number of Observations	7	765	7	'48	7	48	7	47		

Quartiles of percentage capital gains

Variable	Model 1		Model 2		Мо	del 3	Model 4	
variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error						
Becomes Unemployed			-0.1008	0.0277 ***	-0.1017	0.0278 ***	-0.0982	0.0278 ***
2 nd Quartile of Percentage Change	0 0023	0.015/	0 0032	0 0155	0 0023	0.0156	0 0030	0 0155
in Value of the Main Residence	0.0025	0.0134	0.0032	0.0133	0.0025	0.0130	0.0035	0.0133
3 ^d Quartile of Percentage Change	0 0216	0.0152	0 0212	0 0155	0 0214	0.0155	0 0234	0 0155
in Value of the Main Residence	0.0210	0.0102	0.0212	0.0100	0.0214	0.0100	0.0234	0.0100
4 th Quartile of Percentage Change	0.0307	0.0138 **	0.0305	0.0138 **	0.0327	0.0138 **	0.0329	0.0137 **
in Value of the Main Residence		0.0130	010000	0.0100				
2 nd Level of Percentage Change in	0.0260	0.0118 **	0.0254	0.0118 **	0.0247	0.0118 **	0.0234	0.0119 **
Value of Financial Assets								
3 [°] Level of Percentage Change in	0.0378	0.0117 ***	0.0373	0.0117 ***	0.0371	0.0117 ***	0.0358	0.0117 ***
Value of Financial Assets								
4 th Level of Percentage Change in	0.0346	0.0126 ***	0.0331	0.0126 ***	0.0401	0.0134 ***	0.0305	0.0137 **
Value of Financial Assets								
Number of Observations	1,	915	1,	883	1,	883	1,	881

Change in consumption (categorical)

	Мс	odel 1	Мо	Model 2		del 3	Model 4	
Variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error
		Panel A. Using	g Percentage	Changes in As	<u>sets</u>			
		A.1 Probabili	ity that Consu	Imption is low	ver			
Becomes Unemployed			0.2107	0.0531 ***	0.2128	0.0534 ***	0.2063	0.0525 ***
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	-0.0153	0.0068 **	-0.0149	0.0069 **	-0.0151	0.0070 *	-0.0146	0.0067 *
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	-0.0240	0.0063 ***	-0.0227	0.0064 ***	-0.0246	0.0066 ***	-0.0204	0.0066 ***
	A	A.2 Probability	v that Consun	nption is the s	ame			
Becomes Unemployed			-0.0720	0.0303 **	-0.0732	0.0309 **	-0.0691	0.0295 **
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	-0.0006	0.0008	-0.0008	0.0008	-0.0008	0.0009	-0.0008	0.0008
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	-0.0015	0.0013	-0.0017	0.0013	-0.0020	0.0014	-0.0014	0.0012
		A.3 Probabili	ty that Consu	mption is high	her			
Becomes Unemployed			-0.1387	0.0241 ***	-0.1396	0.0238 ***	-0.1373	0.0243 ***
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	0.0159	0.0073 **	0.0156	0.0075 **	0.0159	0.0075 **	0.0153	0.0072 **
Percentage Change in Value of Financial Assets	0.0255	0.0072 ***	0.0244	0.0073 ***	0.0266	0.0075 ***	0.0218	0.0073 ***
Number of Observations	1,	,940	1,	1,907		907	1,905	

• Similar results when using quartiles of percentage changes

Variable	Model 1		Model 2		Mo	del 3	Model 4				
variable	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error	Marg. Eff.	Std. Error			
Probability that Consumption is lower											
Becomes Unemployed			0.2090	0.0513 ***	0.2109	0.0513 ***	0.2059	0.0510 ***			
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	-0.0146	0.0061 **	-0.0136	0.0063 **	-0.0139	0.0063 *	-0.0137	0.0060 *			
Percentage Change in Value of Employer-Provided Pension Plans	-0.0033	0.0068	-0.0028	0.0070	-0.0029	0.0069	-0.0030	0.0069			
Percentage Change in Value of IRAs	-0.0133	0.0067 **	-0.0128	0.0070 **	-0.0146	0.0068 *	-0.0119	0.0072 *			
Percentage Change in Value of Mutual Funds	-0.0080	0.0075	-0.0056	0.0077	-0.0069	0.0075	-0.0050	0.0076			
Percentage Change in Value of Stocks Directly Held	-0.0269	0.0064 ***	-0.0243	0.0063 ***	-0.0255	0.0065 ***	-0.0251	0.0063 ***			
Percentage Change in Value of Trusts	0.0007	0.0123	-0.0042	0.0121	-0.0037	0.0126	-0.0032	0.0119			
Percentage Change in Value of Other Assets Invested in Stocks	0.0020	0.0095	0.0008	0.0097	0.0009	0.0096	0.0013	0.0092			
		Probability t	hat Consump	tion is higher							
Becomes Unemployed			-0.1392	0.0230 ***	-0.1400	0.0233 ***	-0.1379	0.0235 ***			
Percentage Change in Value of the Main Residence	0.0154	0.0066 **	0.0145	0.0068 **	0.0147	0.0069 **	0.0146	0.0065 **			
Percentage Change in Value of Employer-Provided Pension Plans	0.0035	0.0070	0.0030	0.0072	0.0031	0.0071	0.0032	0.0071			
Percentage Change in Value of IRAs	0.0140	0.0073 *	0.0137	0.0077 **	0.0156	0.0075 **	0.0127	0.0078			
Percentage Change in Value of Mutual Funds	0.0084	0.0080	0.0059	0.0081	0.0074	0.0079	0.0053	0.0080			
Percentage Change in Value of Stocks Directly Held	0.0293	0.0075 ***	0.0266	0.0074 ***	0.0280	0.0077 ***	0.0275	0.0074 ***			
Percentage Change in Value of Trusts	-0.0004	0.0124	0.0047	0.0127	0.0042	0.0131	0.0037	0.0123			
Percentage Change in Value of Other Assets Invested in Stocks	-0.0018	0.0095	-0.0006	0.0099	-0.0007	0.0098	-0.0011	0.0093			
Number of Observations	2,	267	2,	223	2.	223	2.	221			

Further robustness checks

- Similar results with unweighted financial gains
- No effect of liquidity constrains (interaction with capital gains terms is not significant)
- Restricting the sample to those below 65 makes the semielasticity of unemployment slightly larger (11%)
- Using a nonlinear model (fractional variable model by Papke and Wooldridge, 1996) leads to identical results

Conclusions

- US households aged 50+ adjust their spending after experiencing capital losses and unemployment
- The effect of a financial loss is stronger than that of the housing loss
- Results are pretty robust to a variety of specifications
- Questions on capital gains/losses in household surveys can be very informative