

Ticket Reference	Summary	BOD	Criticality	Impacted Module	Target Delivery date in PROD
PBI00000224301	PBI-224301 - [wrong GUI filter results] RTGS Sub-Account Liquidity: Search result list of messages after choosing from List of settled debit AS Transfer Orders shows camt.054 not related to chosen pain.998	Regarding the forwarding criterion from List/Details of Cash Transfer(s) screen in RTGS for the context menu Display Message, the GUI and backend specification, GUI mapping description, data model and software implementation need to be adapted for outbound messages. The UHB has to be edited accordingly. Affected screens in RTGS: List of Cash Transfers, Details of Cash Transfer, Query Messages, List of Messages, Details of Message.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI00000224841	PBI-224841 - DRFCF010 creating a rule for camt.025	In order to create message subscription rule set for CRDM messages, the check of the service has been disabled. To subscribe such messages, customers need now to specify T2S as a Service.	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI00000226571	PBI-226571 - assistance regarding error DPU4021 see attached template	The business rule DPU4021 does not allow the update of Party Service Link U2A-only flag to TRUE if there are existing and active Report Configurations (among other objects). In fact, this should be possible if the Report Configuration 'Push Mode' is set to FALSE meaning that an A2A setup is not required. The internal validation related to the business rule will be updated. Impacted Object: Party Service Link. No impact on T2S (non-T2S object) or TIPS (validation not applied for TIPS).	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI00000226886	PBI-226886 - EoD RTGS balances	The query was executed 3 times in this initial customer's test execution At the first time and third time the GUI showed the correct result. At the second time the customer queried the RTGS account from a CLM screen, when RTGS was already in 'close of service' and CLM was not yet in 'close of service'. During the 'close of service' a technical reorganisation of data takes place, so that a GUI query during this phase should not be allowed by RTGS / CLM. A corresponding check in the software is already in place to disable CLM queries regarding CLM accounts and for RTGS queries regarding RTGS accounts. This check has to be added for CLM queries regarding RTGS accounts. The customer currently sees zero values when querying RTGS accounts from a CLM GUI when RTGS is already in 'close of service' but CLM is not yet in 'close of service'. Currently missing check for disabling GUI queries in this constellation.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000226920	PBI-226920 - [T2][PROD][DWH][U2A] subscription of report does not work	The subscription of a report is not working and ends in error : SCH-ERR-022. This error means the delivery service is disabled. IBM ticket was opened for further analysis.	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI00000227233	PBI-227233 - Standing order reservation did not work.	Currently the CLM processing of these types of standing order liquidity transfer crediting the overnight deposit account excludes the use of the CBO reservation amount, while the CLM processing of manual/immediate intra-service LTs crediting the overnight deposit account includes the use of the CBO reservation amount. The CLM system behaviour for standing order LT execution crediting overnight deposit accounts will be aligned to the UDFS and include CBO reservation amounts.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000227378	PBI-227378 - Behaviour related to the List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status screen in T2 RTGS EAC	The screen 'List of Cash Transfer Order Subtotals by Status' are both affected, in RTGS and CLM. The specification and the UHB both demand the display of the percent sign (%), which is currently not shown in the GUI.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000227605	PBI-227605 - Inconsistent minimum reserve values. Inconsistency between the minimum reserve values displayed in T2 CLM GUI and the values reported in the camt.004 message sent by CLM	On the first day of a MR period there is an inconsistent combination of values from the preceding N period (e.g. adjustment balance) and the current MR period (e.g. MR requirement). On the GUI the screen currently mixes the information of the past with information of the current period and has to be corrected. On all other days of the MR period all values consistently relate to the current MR period.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000227615	PBI-227615 - Incorrect pacs.008 received containing an incorrect <MsgDefId>=pacs.008.001.08CORE. This type which does not exist.	Message validation rule not correctly set up, applying validation rules for pacs.009 instead of pacs.008.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000227753	Business transaction 9071346 did not close	CLM created an inter-service ceiling LT and an internal camt.050 was sent to RTGS. RTGS processed it and sent an internal receipt to CLM. Because of a bug, the processing at CLM stopped (no incoming message found - because the LT was system-generated), and the business transaction stayed open and an internal alert was created.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000227838	PBI-227838 - AS A batch-Guarantee mechanism not found in the Query "AS batch liquidity summary for Guarantee fund mechanism"	While the Algo 4 starts, all cash transfer orders of an AS batch in procedure B are switching to cash transfer status "Earmarked" before next settlement attempt. This happens at every Algo 4 start. The screen currently displays "Queued" cash transfer orders only and therefore, just before start of the Algo, the result list is empty. However, also the earmarked cash transfer orders of AS batches in procedure B must be taken into consideration as unsettled cash transfer orders in this screen.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000227921	PBI-227921 - CAMT.050 settled time - explanation	LTs received in CRTI are parked and rejected instead of parked and processed at RESO. Workaround: Send LTs before CRTI.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000227969	PBI-227969 - CLM-Screen "Query Events" contains less events than mentioned in BDM and UHB	The Restriction to display Internal Events only to OT was not working correctly for the Download. This is why more events where contained in the downloaded file. The Event Type Internal or External was fixed with PBI00000227427.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000227993	PBI-227993 - ECONS II - TASK QUEUE MENU LIST sort by amount	A ECONS II user is querying the TASK queue menu list, sorting the entries by amount field. - Description of the Issue: the sorting filter is incorrectly applied on the displayed entries. No workaround, GUI issue. Only ECONS II is impacted	Medium	ECONS II	2024/06/08
PBI00000228079	PBI-228079[UJ] T2 Billing testing campaign Week 11 - camt.077 CONS contains item 5016 for T2P EEUHEE2XXX but according to DWH, this participant did not have any LT-s for period 12.-18.06.2023	- Description of the Business Scenario Usage of TRN01, TRN07, DXE05 - Description of the Issu Liquidity transfers between RTGS and CLM with a failed leg are not reported in TRN01, TRN07, DXE05. - If a Workaround is available No workaround available - List of impacted TARGET Services T2 DWH. No other services impacted - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service Inter-service Liquidity Transfers with a failed leg are billed but cannot identified/are missing in DWH reports returning transactions. - Description of the required change Liquidity transfers from RTGS to CLM which are rejected on the counterpart CLM side will be billed unless they stay with the same bank or banking group. Due to a bug in the software loading granular transactional data, these rejected transactions have been left out and therefore are not contained in predefined reports returning single transactions like TRN01, TRN07, DXE05. With the fix billed service item 5016 can now be aligned with a failed LT reported e.g. by TRN01. - If and what DWH report is impacted TRN01, TRN07, DXE05. Please be aware of, as the Summary might suggest, that this is not an issue for billing and the Billing service is not impacted. Other TARGET services are not impacted, too.	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI00000228210	PBI-228210 - Issue with CLM camt.006 responses.	Currently customer's get some transactions reported twice (i.e. the debit and the credit cash account perspective of a cash transfer) in a camt.006 cash transfer query response, even when a cash account identification was given within the cash account query, explicitly only querying the perspective of the referenced cash account. The issue is currently only reported for overnight deposit cash transfers and might only occur for those when the delta functionality of the cash transfer query is used.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000228228	PBI-228228 - [Multi-Currency] RTGS, Select Business Sender Party cannot handle identical account BICs	The same account BIC in RTGS cannot be used for different currencies. Workaround: Use different account BICs for different currencies.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000228236	PBI-228236 - GUI - list of account postings for co-managed accounts not visible	A co-manager is currently not able to see the account postings of co-managed accounts. The screen 'List of account postings' shows the note 'No entries found' when the co-manager enters or selects a co-managed account. This has to be changed in order to show the account postings of the co-managed account.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI00000228291	PBI-228291[ACBC] Adaptions required in order to display the IDs correctly	Adaptions required in order to display the IDs correctly while also respecting performance requirements. Cash transfers can be identified by several IDs which are shown on a number of screens in the RTGS and CLM GUI respectively. - Instruction ID - End to End ID - UETR - Original UETR - Business Case ID - Clearing System Reference Please also refer to 'CLM/RTGS - Clarification Note on the display of IDs in cash transfers'	Medium	Other	2024/11/16
PBI00000228356	[T2 IAC MT-V.5.1.6.9 DWH.UMC.MIR01] Performance optimisation MIR01	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of MIR01 2. Issue Description: Redesign of MIR01 3. No Workaround is available 4. No other TARGET Services concerned 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Harmonisation of the tables used by MIR01 and MIR02 6. Required SW change: Redesign / Report accesses a different data layer of the DWH in future (from the core layer to the enterprise layer) 7. DWH report: MIR01	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI00000228373	[T2 PROD][RTGS] Discrepancy between counter and cash transfers	When the user gets redirected from the RTGS GUI screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" to the "List of Cash Transfers" screen via context menu, the number of displayed cash transfer orders is inconsistent between the two screens. The redirection does not correctly distinguish between credit and debit cash transfer orders, which can be traced back to the implementation logic of the field 'Credits / Debits' on the "Query Cash Transfers" screen. The problem is that the values in the field 'Credits / Debits' is only considered when the field 'Account Number' is filled simultaneously. In contrast, the screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" is designed independent of the selection/display of a single account, so that the redirection can currently not correctly distinguish between credit and debit cash transfer orders. Therefore, the logic of the field 'Credits / Debits' has to be changed so that the screen aList of Cash Transfers can show the cash transfer orders where (depending on the selection) either the credit or the debit account is in the data scope of the user, irrespective of the filling of the field @Account Number. This change will achieve a consistent display between the two screens. This issue also affects the corresponding CLM GUI screens. The screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" will not be changed and shows the correct value (restricted to own CB community).	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16

PBI000000228387	[T2 SDD-PBR-099] [4CBC][T2 IAC MT-6.0.0.0] GUI: Query Overnight Deposit Accounts in Dashboard Query Screen for Ancillary Systems	Overnight deposit accounts are not selectable for parties with party type Ancillary Systems in the 'Dashboard Liquidity Overview Query Screens while this is allowed according to UDFS (chapter 3.1.3).	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000228554	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.5.1.6.8] CSLD RTGS, CLM U2A screens, 'Textarea fields "XML File" having incorrect background colour	In the CLM and RGTS GUI (Details of Message / Details of File screens), textareas-fields that show xml content are currently filled with a white background colour. This leads to the wrong impression that those fields can be edited. The fields should therefore be filled with grey background colour to indicate that no input is possible.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/07
PBI000000228610	RTGS camt.006s not containing all transactions	In the delta camt.006 cash transfer query response some certain cash transfers are not included.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228638	CRDM allows configuring SOs with AS procedure and cash account not compatible	- Description of the Business Scenario: Discrepancy between the LTO configurations with AS procedure D created in CRDM and the setup expected by T2 after data propagation. - Description of the Issue: The existing checks foreseen by CRDM in the LTO creation with AS procedure D involve only the values of AS Procedure field and the accounts used in the same LTO. No check is performed on the table ASPR, containing the reference of which settlement procedure the ancillary system is using. On the contrary, on T2 side we have the following situation: depending on the settlement procedure the ancillary system is using, the liquidity needs to be provided on different accounts: - sub-account for the AS settlement procedure C (account owner = RTGS Account Holder); - AS technical account for AS settlement procedure D (account owner = ancillary system or its CB). So in case the SO LT is setup for AS settlement procedure D in can only be credited on a technical account dedicated for AS proc D. An introduction of a new business rule when creating LTO with AS settlement procedure D to align this configuration in CRDM is the adopted solution. - If a Workaround is available: No workaround - List of impacted TARGET Services: only T2 - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: It is an issue affecting the data propagation of LTO from CRDM to T2. - For CRDM PBIs only: If and what CRDM Object is impacted: n/a.	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI000000228668	[T2][EAC][RTGS][A2A] Missing camt.025 as response to camt.007 increasing queue position	When a customer sends a camt.007 payment modification message for a payment which was created via GUI (U2A), the payment modification will be processed correctly but the expected outbound message (camt.025) will not be sent out to the customer.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228683	[T2][RTGS][EAC][A2A] pacs.009 entered via GUI rejected with E019 even if the cut off was not reached	The time formatter which extracts the time-only values in the GUI was defective. The entered values were not recalculated according to the timezone, leading to the described error message. This does only affect pacs fields which are defined as time without a date.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228730	[T2][CRDM][PROD][U2A] The field annual threshold amount cannot be updated with an amount with two digits after the comma.	à - Description of the Business Scenario:à When creating an Invoice Configuration, among the selectable fields, there is the annual amount threshold. - Description of the Issue:à When inserting an Annual Amount Threshold with decimal values, in data change screen the comma is not viewed (e.g. 999.99 is displayed as 99999). CRDM Object: Invoice Configuration - data changes detail screen. - If a Workaround is available:à There is no workaround since this is a visualization issue only. The value with decimals is correctly saved, but it is wrongly displayed in data change screen only. - List of impacted TARGET Services:à T2. - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service:à No impact and restrictions are foreseen. - For CRDM PBIs only: If and what CRDM Object is impacted:à Four-Eyes Management (Data Changes for the Annual Amount Threshold field of Invoice Configuration: the impacted field is only relevant for T2 service)	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI000000228786	[T2][PROD][DWH][U2A] TRN01 search criteria not working	-Description of the Business Scenario Execution of TRN01 predefined report with 'Search by reference' -Description of the Issue The TRN01 report in DWH doesn't work when using UETR search criteria for a settled pacs.004, pacs.004 (payment cancellations) do not have a UETR of their own, instead the UETR of the original transaction is informed in the field UETR_ORIG. However, UETR_ORIG has not been filled before 2023-05-05 in UTEST. -If a Workaround is available No workaround available -List of impacted TARGET Services None -Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service n/a -Description of the required change If a UETR is not available in source transactional data, the UETR_ORIG is stored in internal transaction table instead. If neither UETR nor UETR_ORIG is available '-' will be taken.	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000228793	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.5.1.5.1.1, GUI] RTGS, U2A - Upload A2A or File ends in Exception (TGPY700)	The upload of an A2A file or message could fail with a technical error message if the message refers to a BIC which of which multiple addressee BICs configured as the system will then have multiple associated accounts. A handling for this case was previously missing.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000228812	Camt.054 received with amount 0.00	The current design of the automated liquidity transfer processing between CLM and RTGS can result in a very high number of zero-amount automated liquidity transfers settled in RTGS and CLM which will all create camt.054 notifications if configured. This scenario can occur when several CBOs are queued within a few seconds on an MCA with insufficient liquidity and automated LT configured with a linked RTGS account.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228846	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.6.0.2.0] CLM, U2A screen 'List of Available Liquidity by Party' - inconsistent display of error icon in case of erroneous response from T2S	When receiving erroneous responses from other services (T2S/TIPS), an error icon is shown in the CLM GUI. This error icon is supposed to mark the affected fields if they cannot be retrieved or miss required balances to be calculated. This marking is however not working consistently between all fields so that an incorrect value of 0.00 may be displayed in case of an erroneous response from other services.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228847	Discrepancies in Cash Transfer Order Status in Task Queue after revocation - old status seems to be not correctly displayed	When revoking a cash transfer order, the current status is erroneously shown in field æOld ValueÆ. As the current status is correctly shown in the field æNew ValueÆ and the predecessor status is not stored in T2, the field æOld ValueÆ should be empty when revoking a cash transfer order.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228864	camt.050 from CLM to RTGS without amount	The whole processing started with an inter-service standing order LT from CLM to T2S. T2S sent a negative camt.025 with an error code as response to . Due to the negative pro-cessing a reversal of the cash transfer related to the standing order LT was done. After the suc-cessful settlement of the reversal, an automated LT was triggered but without any amount and sent out to RTGS. In RTGS the received automated LT could not be processed due to the miss-ing amount. Therefore it was possible to pull liquidity from RTGS to CLM.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228877	wrong separators accepted in U2A cash transfer query	At the U2A search criteria of the cashtransfer query screen wrong separator were accepted. The result is an internal Alarm (DB2). The user will not get a correct answer.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229013	[T2 EAC] [AS]	The context menu 'Display Cash Transfer' on the screen 'List of AS Batches' currently uses the 'A Batch Message Reference' for the navigation to the screen 'List of Cash Transfers'. The same value for 'AS Batch Message Reference' might be used by different ancillary systems, so this navigation criterion is not sufficient in order to exactly show the cash transfers of the relevant AS batch. To achieve uniqueness the Business Case ID has to be added as an additional navigation criterion. The implementation and the UHB have to be modified accordingly. In this context the description of the UHB regarding the context menus 'Details' and 'Display AS Batch Processing Log' will be corrected, as the current implementation (correctly) does not use the 'AS Batch Message Reference' for the navigation but a technical (internal) ID. This is an editorial issue.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000229031	[T2][TARGET][A2A][UTEST] duplicate of camt.004 for start of the OVNProcedure received	Currently the AS using AS procedure C are getting two camt.004 when event RESO is started. This happens because on EAC the currencies EUR as well as DKK are active and the flow "Execute and settle standing order liquidity transfers" is called for each currency. But the relevant currency is not considered when selecting the AS. Therefore everytime all AS are selected but not only for the relevant currency.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229061	routing configuration	Description of the Business Scenario: Routing New/Edit screen is used for the conditional routing setup of TZCRDM network Services and the selected Message Type is RTGS Directory update or CLM Repository update. Description of the Issue: when RTGS_DIR_UPDA or CLM_REPO_UPDA value is selected in Message Type field and the request is submitted, then the value is wrongly mapped in the database with RTGS_DIR or CLM_REPO value respectively. The result is that the conditional routing request is applied to the respective RTGS/CLM full report rather than the intended delta version. If a Workaround is available: no workaround available. List of impacted TARGET Services: only T2 is impacted. Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: T2 customers need to use the default routing functionality for the routing of the impacted delta reports. CRDM Object: Routing	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI000000229113	[INTEG] V6.2.0.0] GUI.UC.0980 - Modify AS transfer order - Context Menu Entry 'Increase/Decrease' is visible for PB-User	Currently the "Increase" and "Decrease" queue position context menu for AS Transfers is shown the payment bank users even though only the related CB can change the queue position. With this fix the context menus "Increase" and "Decrease" will be removed for PB users. The execution of this action is already correctly prevented in this case and the GUI will now be aligned with this behaviour.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000229120	[4CBC][MT V.6.0.5.0] [Shared Component][Table] Set a specific focus/Highlight visualization to have a well visible selection across different browsers.	Align the highlight effects and focusable state on the table module for mouse and keyboard usage between different browsers.à The keyboard navigation inside the table is using the default browser effects. The focus styling should be customized to have better visibility.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/07
PBI000000229187	[T2 INTEG MT-V.7.0.0.0] List of Broadcasts (RTGS/CLM) - column "Subject" - deviation between mapping spec and implementation	The text shown in the field "Subject" on the screen "List of Broadcasts" is for some broadcasts incorrect regarding the spelling. This has to be corrected. Note: the business content of the field is correct, only the spelling is wrong.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/07
PBI000000229194	[T2 INTEG MT-V.7.0.0.0] Query used amounts for direct debits - Duplicate Entry in List	The RTGS GUI screen "List of Direct Debits" may show entries for each DCA in the result list for certain Counterparties. Parties with only one DCA are therefore not affected. This implementation error needs to be corrected so that only one entry is shown per Counterparty BIC.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08

PBI000000229200	Logging GUI issue	Depending on the Section where a User is selected in ESMIG Portal, meta information is added to the request indicating if it was done via T2, T2S or TIPS. As the User itself is the only relevant information this change aims to remove the check on this meta information item, in order to allow access to CLM and RTGS for a correctly privileged system user even if this system user was selected from the T2S or TIPS section in ESMIG Portal to allow users with a system user which is configured to use all services to use all services at the same time independent of the login order.	Medium	Other	2024/06/08
PBI000000229228	Difference between data from camt.054 and data from camt.006 (there are missing fields).	In the camt.006 query response by CLM the field End-to-End Id is missing when reported CLM cash transfer relates to a balance transmitted by ECONS II. This is not in line with the description in SDD CN 100.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000229496	Automated liquidity transfer	The implementation for CLM Execute modify current reservation flow needs to be corrected. Several errors occurred while processing a seizure of funds reservation: A modify seizure of funds reservation task (type 1001) was created at '2023-09-28T12:29:29.02Z' (ID_TASK: 514077) with the amount '85.65'. The cash account in question had no liquidity, thus the task was set to pending (correctly) and an automated LT should have been created. Indeed an automated LT was created, but it had the wrong amount: '0' instead of '85.65' (1) The issue seems to be that the incorrect 'Outbound processing Information' was selected (2) Additionally, the incorrect Business Transacti was used (the Business Transaction of the camt.004 Floor Notification and not the business transaction of the task) (3) Also the camt.004 Floor Notification which was created was not expected in this case	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000229534	Back-up payment functionality	When trying to enter a backup payment on the RTGS GUI screen "New Financial Institution Credit Transfer", the error message "BACP not allowed" may appear, even though the user should be allowed to submit it. This behaviour can be traced back to an incorrect implementation of the privilege checks performed for exceptional credit transfers and needs to be corrected.	Medium	RTGS	2024/02/17
PBI000000229630	[C2 DASH] LMTNETW RTGS OT 11000 AMBP1BRK 2023-08-14-04.25.41.631116CASHTRANSFER Error Number in Exception: 2322 -- Error Code (can be MQ Error / SQL Error etc.: -99999 - Error occurred in procedure - Error detected, rethrowing - Root SQL exception -	Currently the camt.005 cash transfer delta query does not always work as expected and sometimes the delta query (not the INIT) will result in a technical error and a timeout for the customer.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000229691	[PROD] Intraday credit use maxima exceeds credit line amount maxima	The settlement timestamps are set in a way in CLM that in very rare cases the asynchron settlement of cash transfers can lead to a situation where a credit cash transfer which provides the necessary liquidity on a cash account to settle a debit cash transfer has indeed a settlement timestamp a few milli seconds after the settlement of the debit cash transfer. In these rare cases it appears that the cash account in question had a negative liquidity for a few milli seconds.	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000229906	DWH ANS01 report	TBC	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000229932	FRCB reporting PBI000000226575 is partially successful. Unsuccessful for PAR02 from which the participant dMSLNG82XPAR0 is still missing.	- Description of the Business Scenario: Usage of PAR02 - Description of the Issue: PAR02 is not showing deleted parties - If a Workaround is available: None - List of impacted TARGET Services: T2-DWH - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: The report uses a view that was not adjusted during a previous change. This will now be adjusted. - Description of the required change: Adjustment of a DWH View - For DWH PBIs only: - If and what DWH report is impacted: PAR02	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000229938	PTCB participants complains about ReturnLimit (camt.010) without tag MsgDefIdr	When sending a limit query (camt.009) the answer provided by RTGS currently sometimes does not include the mandatory MsgDefIdr tag while all other information are included as expected.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000229956	[T2] [UTEST] [RTGS] [A2A] Possible failed retest of PBI000000229842	In case of disagreement after blocking of earmarked cash transfers for procedure A/B the external batch status is incorrect: 'ACSC' instead of 'RJDA'. The Cash Transfers however get rejected correctly, only the external batch status is incorrect. As possible workaround in the rare scenarios where a disagreement after blocking should be done it's possible to simply not disagree the earmarked cash transfers: the rejection cut-off process would then reject it with the end of day processing and the batch status would be correct. The other possible workaround would be to manual inform the impacted customer in these rare scenarios whenever a disagreement is done	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000229983	STF01 Set-up capital is never communicated in the table	- Description of the Business Scenario Usage of the predefined report STF01 - Description of the Issue Set-up of an Overnight Deposit is not communicated by STF01 if executed by a third level participant user, or in case a central bank user specifically selects the BIC of a participant. - If a Workaround is available No workaround available - List of impacted TARGET Services T2 DWH. No other service impacted - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service There is a deviation from the specification as the capital setup for overnight deposit is not filled for some specific scenarios. - Description of the required change The relevant Overnight Deposit Account, on which the setup is calculated, is not owned by the party selected in the prompt but of the central bank instead. The software needs to be amended to check for the Overnight Deposit Accounts the linked account, which is a MCA belonging to the selected party. - If and what DWH report is impacted STF01	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000230002	[T2][PROD][DWH][U2A] difference between the value of remunerations on holdings of minimum reserves that were settled in CLM and values in MRO1 report (Accrued MR interest amount) for MRF period ended on 2023-09-19.	- Description of the Business Scenario Usage of MRO1 predefined report, current period - Description of the Issue Difference between value of interest payment on MR reserves settled in CLM and MRO1 in the field 'Accrued MR interest amount' - If a Workaround is available No workaround available - List of impacted TARGET Services T2 DWH. No other service impacted - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service Amounts calculated by MRO1 could differ from amounts settled in CLM due to rounding errors - Description of the required change A value of exactly 5 in the third decimal digit of amount fields and no decimal digits follow is always rounded down. This has to be corrected to round it up to the next full cent. - If and what DWH report is impacted MRO1	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000230030	Failed retest of PBI000000229462	Under analysis	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000230127	[C2 DASH] Abend during the camt.053 Statement of Account creation	In case of a pacs.004 and the counterparty has only U2A only access no pacs.004 is forwarded to the credit side and no outbound message is created for this case which works as defined. But this leads to an abend during the camt.053 Statement of Account creation and the customer does not receive the camt.053 SoA for this account.	High	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000230133	E018 received even if BDM cutoff was not reached yet.	Currently liquidity transfers to overnight deposit accounts are validated against the correct business phase using the credit account types before checking whether the account exists in T2. When a wrong account number is entered or the account does not exist, the task of the liquidity transfer fails already in the first step as there is no account type available. As a result the user gets the error code E018 which is wrong from a functional perspective as the task failed due to a non-existing account. The account validation will be brought to an earlier stage in the processing that the customer gets the error code U021 or U027 instead of E018.	Medium	CLM	TBC
PBI000000230179	Camt.003 query abend with technical error	When a customer queries his minimum reserve requirements (camt.003 fulfillment/requirement query) on the same day that he gets obliged for minimum reserve the query will abend with a technical error. This happens because the mandatory accumulated end of day minimum reserve balance from the previous business day does not exist. Consequently the customer does not get any response in this rare case.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000230183	Technical error instead of rejection of camt.011 message	In cases a customer sends a modify bilateral limit (camt.011) message to create a non-existing limit between two cash accounts which did not have any existing standing orders or bookings between these two cash accounts during the day, the inbound processing flow abends with a technical error instead of correctly rejection the inbound message with the error code E078. While it is correct that the task is not executed, the customer does not get the required negative response message (camt.025) due to this bug.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000230216	[C2 DASH] LMTNETW RTGS OT 12173 WMBP1BRK 2023-11-13-10.01.22.552912CAMT025_INTERNAL 12173 - TIVOLI error raised: 12173 - Negative internal receipt (camt.025) from CLM received with status code E011: Duplicate message payload.	In cases where a floor or ceiling LT is triggered for one cash account with the exact same settlement amount intraday the second LT will be rejected as duplicate.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000230351	AS not being able to revoke file	The AS tried to revoke an AS batch via GUI but currently the AS is not able to do so because erroneously A102 failed although the AS is not blocked. This is a system bug which needs to be fixed. As a workaround the CB of the AS can act on behalf and revoke the AS batch.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000230505	Addition of missing business key to the enumeration table	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of TRN02 2. Issue Description: Due to an error in the data model of table TGDE20, duplicates could occur in TRN02 3. No Workaround available 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Due to an error in the data model of table TGDE20, duplicates could occur in TRN02 6. Required SW change: The enumeration table for cash transfer classes requires an additional business index to ensure uniqueness of the entries in the table TGDEB78_D_CASH_XFER_CLASS. This eliminates the root cause of the bug in TRN02 that resulted in duplicated counts and amount totals 7. DWH report: TRN02	Medium	DWH	2023/12/09

PBI00000230611	[T2][DWH][ANS01] ANS01 report does not count AS transfers correctly	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of Report ANS01 2. Issue Description: ANS01 report does not count AS transfers correctly 3. No Workaround available in DWH 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Due to a failure in the ETL process a miscount results for ANS01. The report underestimate the count of AS transfers. 6. Required SW change: Adjustment of the ETL process to take all transactions into account 7. DWH report: ANS01	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI00000230618	Missing AS file after manual upload A2/	Under analysis	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000230630	[T2][PROD][DWH] Request for better PDF layout in STA02 reports	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of Report STA02 2. Issue Description: Report as PDF export is not good readably / Scaling of the PDF export is not specified 3. Workaround: Usage in another format 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: No functional impact as only the usability is lower as a PDF 6. Required SW change: Adjust scaling so that it fits on one page 7. DWH report: STA02	Low	DWH	TBC
PBI00000230759	Standing Order not executed (EBATFRPPEB1)	1. Business Scenario Description: The customer has entered a SO in CRDM that was not successfully taken over by CLM/RTGS 2. Issue Description: The SO is provided by CRDM to CLM/RTGS but deleted in CLM/RTGS due to a specific data constellation. RDE322 should have reported this data constellation to the customer but did not. The logic for taking over data from CRDM is less restrictive than the validation. 3. Workaround: No 4. TARGET Services concerned: CLM/RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: If a SO is setup with an A/ as a credit account and a given event code the SO is deleted and not taken over by CLM/RTGS and therefore not executed. 6. Required SW change: RDE322 and the logic for taking over data from CRDM should be aligned so the data takeover has the same restriction than RDE322	Medium	Other	TBC
PBI00000230854	camt.004 message without closing balance and acc	BOD: VR01460 should work as expected for camt.003 MRFQ query: E130 has to be raised when	Medium	CLM	TBC
PBI00000230844	Date error for New Financial Institution Credit Transfer	1. Business Scenario Description: Occurs On T2 UZA Screens "Customer Credit Transfer", "Financial Institution Credit Transfer" and Payment Return 2. Issue Description: Date Entries in the field "Interbank Settlement Date" while using a computer set to a Timezone GMT-01:00 to GMT-12:00 will lead to the actual date being erroneously recognised as between 12:00 and 23:00 on the day before. This will lead to Inputs for Mondays being rejected while inputs for Saturdays are accepted. This Fix will adjust the validation to always check the CET/CEST formatted date. 3. Workaround: No other similar functionality is available in T2 UZA. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 (CLM+RTGS) 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Entering a Payment via UZA is not possible for Mondays as long as the Computer is set to one of the affected Timezones 6. Required SW change: The Input date has to be correctly transformed into UTC to allow date Validations to work correctly	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000230942	[T2][PROD] FULL RTGS Directory received yesterday has errors.	1. Business Scenario Description: RTGS Directory is based on Authorised Account User and Cash Account configuration. To exclude a BIC from the directory, it should be referenced in an AAU linked to a non published cash account or in an Exclusion participation type AAU, also within a wild card pattern. A BIC should be included only once within the same validity period in order to uniquely identify the correct addressing. 2. Issue Description: A BIC has been defined as Direct AAU on a non published account. The same BIC is included in a wild card pattern for a multi addressee AAU created on a published account. Expected behaviour should be that the BIC should not be part of the RTGS Directory. Currently the software wrongly includes the BIC when evaluating the wild card pattern instead of skipping it. The result is that a wrong record for the BIC is produced in the directory, causing as a side effect a double entry for the BIC with overlapping period. The fix aims at correcting the wild card pattern evaluation routine so that the processing is in line with the specs. 3. Workaround: In such scenario, if an additional AAU is created for the BIC on the published account with participation type set to "Exclusion", the record would not be included in the directory as expected. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: RTGS directory is used only in the context of RTGS and distributed to the RTGS participants, there is no impact on other services. 6. Required SW change: Yes. The wild card evaluation routine for the RTGS Directory extraction component should be corrected 7. CRDM object: RTGS Directory 8. DWH report: N/A	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI00000230961	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.7.0.12.0, CR87] RTGS & CLM: Pacs messages throw error in TGPY700.	Under analysis	High	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000231015	payment not settled with automated LT	1. Business Scenario Description: In AS procedure B two 'high' priority payments were queued at the same time. The cash account (RTGS DCA) had no liquidity but a rule based liquidity transfer configured for high queued payments (linked MCA). Consequently as both of the payments were queued within a few ms the first payment created a LRQP camt.050 pull to CLM. While the rule based pull LT for the first payment succeeded the pull LT for the second queued payment failed. 2. Issue Description: Due to an implementation error the amount of the second pull LT is incorrectly set to NULL. 3. Workaround: not available 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 RTGS high priority queued payments 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: If two 'high' priority payments are queued at the same time (within few ms) and the RTGS DCA is lacking the necessary liquidity, the automated LT to provide the liquidity for the second 'high' priority payment fails. 6. Required SW change: Correct the implementation bug to correctly set the amount in the second automated LT according to the liquidity need	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000231026	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.7.0.12.0, CR87] RTGS: Standing Orders for Reservations (in RSOD) throw error in TGPY700.	1. Description of the Business Scenario: Standing orders for reservations are not fully executed with start of day in RTGS. 2. Issue Description: Due to a timing issue the process "Execute modify current reservation RTGS" may find some standing orders for reservations in wrong status. 3. Workaround: no 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Standing orders for reservations are not fully executed 6. Description of the required change: When processing SO for reservations the process needs to ensure that it is the only process working on the data of the related cash account and other process will need to wait for it to finish Applicable to user testing: no	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI00000231047	DWH PROD: MIR01 missing MR period information in XML and CSV format	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of the MIR01 predefined report. 2. Issue Description: When selecting a technical output format (csv/Excel data/xml) the MR period information like period duration and interest rates are missing. When using e.g. the html format they are available and included in sheet 2. 3. Workaround: No workaround available. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: The MIR01 in csv, Excel data or xml format is incomplete as MR period information is missing. 6. Required SW change: Reimplement in Cognos the MIR01 report that in case of selecting a technical output format the whole report is conducted with a single query including the MR period information from sheet 2 together with the fulfillment information from sheet 1. 7. DWH report: MIR01.	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI00000231058	[T2 RTGS EAC] - Validation Rule VR01260 not triggered for camt.005	1. Business Scenario Description: Sending camt.005 with elements a combination of the elements 'Account Owner', 'Account Identification', 'Account Servicer' gives an result instead of the expected Error code E111. These elements should be mutually exclusive. 2. Issue Description: Software issue as the defined validation rule does not work as intended. 3. Workaround: Do not combine those elements 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Non 6. Required SW change: Yes.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000231059	Validation Rule VR01420 not triggered for camt.005	1. Business Scenario Description: In case a camt.005 is sent with an 'Account Identification' referencing a non-existing cash account (i.e. incorrect cash account identification is provided) the error E112 is expected but not raised (instead E118 is returned). 2. Issue Description: Software issue of VR01420. 3. Workaround: not necessary 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Non 6. Required SW change: Yes.	Medium	CLM	TBC
PBI00000231067	[T2 RTGS EAC] - Validation Rule VR01260 not triggered for camt.005	Under analysis	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI00000231069	Validation Rule VR01420 not triggered for camt.005	Under analysis	Medium	CLM	TBC